

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/813,753 03/26/2004		Lawrence P. Sydow	7005-67235	5270		
24197	7590	03/31/2005		EXAMINER		
KLARQUI 121 SW SAI		KMAN, LLP	HAYES, BRET C			
SUITE 1600		CL1	ART UNIT	T UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
PORTLANI	O, OR 972	:04	3644			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$-\Gamma$		Application No. 10/813,753		Applicant(s) SYDOW, LAWRENCE P.				
V								
\	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Bret C Hayes		3644				
eriod 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the co	er sheet with the o	correspondence a	dress -			
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. TO SEE THE SEE	36(a). In no event, h ly within the statutory will apply and will exp e, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tir minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iy. xommunication.			
Status								
1)区	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	lovember 2004.						
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-28 is/are pending in the appli	ication.						
/_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		eration					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) 1 and 3-28 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election i	equirement.					
Applica	tion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	\						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		hipotod to by the	Evaminar				
ارە≀	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•		ED 4 424/-*			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
		varriller. NOTE L	ie attaurieu Office	ACION OF IOM P	10-152.			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
а) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	•						
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified	copies not receive	ed.				
Attachme		_	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) [Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date .		Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/813,753

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a fish attractant dispensing apparatus comprising a fishing line a receiving
 passageway, and having end portions sized to receive one another—the end portions
 being likened in the claims to lips, tubular projections, components, etc.;
 - a fish attractant dispensing apparatus comprising a fishing line a receiving passageway, and having open positions including a plurality of open positions; and
 - a fish attractant dispensing apparatus comprising a fishing line a receiving
 passageway, and having visual indicia;
- No claims appear generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species
 comprising inventions a c above. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single
 disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,753

Art Unit: 3644

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,753

Art Unit: 3644

. . .

 A telephone call was made to David P. Peterson on 11 MAR 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret C Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 306-0553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri P Luu can be reached on (703) 305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bh 3/11/05 TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER